

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO But 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.waybi.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/550,010	02/10/2006	Yoshiaki Hamada	8035-1024	5761
466 12/17/2008 YOUNG & THOMPSON 209 Madison Street			EXAMINER	
			PICKARD, ALISON K	
Suite 500 ALEXANDRI	A. VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	.,		3676	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/17/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/550.010 HAMADA ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Alison K. Pickard 3676 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 September 2008. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-3.21.22 and 28-41 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-3,21,22 and 28-41 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10-2-08.

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/550,010 Page 2

Art Unit: 3676

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all
obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1, 3, 21, 22, 28-30, and 34-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Great Britain Patent 931, 710 (GB '710) in view of Tanaka (6,554,286) in view of Sakai.

GB '710 discloses a gasket comprising a metal base plate 10 with cylinder holes, coolant holes (e.g. near 13b), and annular beads 13. GB '710 discloses a metal layer (11, 12, or 16) on both surfaces of the base, especially around the beads. The layer comprises nickel-alloys, copper, or aluminum. The term "hard" is subjective and these coatings can be considered "hard" with respect to other materials, such as elastomers. Further, they are the same materials required by the claims. The material is a foil, which is considered a plating and can be applied with adhesive. GB '710 discloses that the gasket can be laminated using more than one plated base plate. However, GB '710 does not appear to disclose an outer peripheral bead totally surrounding the beads and coolant holes. Tanaka teaches a gasket having at least one base with cylinder holes, coolant holes, annular beads, and an outer peripheral bead 2c totally surrounding the beads 2b and holes 2a and 2d. The outer bead has a slope cross-section. The plate also has a plated layer. Tanaka teaches using the outer bead to prevent coolant from leaking as well as further aiding in sealing of the combustion holes. This effect if further enhanced when multiple plates are used.

Application/Control Number: 10/550,010

Art Unit: 3676

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify to use the outer bead taught by Tanaka to further seal the holes in the gasket. The distribution of the amount of step of the hard layer corresponds to the rigidity of the engine relevant to the bores.

GB '710 does not appear to disclose the hardness range for the plated layer. Sakai teaches a gasket with a base plate having a metal coating, such as copper or aluminum coatings. Sakai teaches that such coating should have a hardness of at about Hv 60 to function effectively. This value falls in either "hard" or "soft" range required by the claims. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the layer of GB '710 by selecting the hardness values as taught by Sakai to enhance the sealing effect of the layer.

Regarding claims 29, 35, and 39, GB '710 does not appear to disclose nickelphosphorus. The selection of a known material based on its suitability for its intended use is considered obvious. See In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416 (CCPA 1960). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify GB' 710 using nickel-phosphorus as the nickel alloy.

Regarding claims 21 and 22, requiring the layers to be electroplated or molten are process limitation in a product claim and given little patentable weight.

 Claims 1-3, 21, 22, 28-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kawaguchi (5,286,039) in view of GB '710 in view of Sakai.

Kawaguchi discloses a laminate metal gasket comprising at least two base plates 10 and 20 and an auxiliary plate 40 or 30. The plates each have combustion holes and coolant holes. At

Art Unit: 3676

least the base plates 10 and 20 have annular beads 21 and 31 and as seen in Figure 1, a peripheral bead surrounds all openings. The auxiliary plate has a bead (e.g. 31) atop and facing bead 21.

Kawaguchi does not appear to disclose metal layers on the plates. GB '710 teaches coating layers of a laminate metal gasket with metal layers to improve the sealing function. The coating covers the plates, especially at the bead sections. The coating can comprise copper, nickel alloys, or aluminum and can be considered "hard" or "soft" as these are relative terms. And, the materials are the same as that required by the claims. Coating all the layers in Kawaguchi would meet the limitations required by the claims. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify to coat the plates in Kawaguchi with the metal layer taught by GB '710 to improve the sealing effect of the gasket, especially at the beaded areas. The distribution of the amount of step of the hard layer corresponds to the rigidity of the engine relevant to the bores.

GB '710 does not appear to disclose the hardness range for the plated layer. Sakai teaches a gasket with a base plate having a metal coating, such as copper or aluminum coatings. Sakai teaches that such coating should have a hardness of at about Hv 60 to function effectively. This value falls in either "hard" or "soft" range required by the claims. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the layer of GB '710 by selecting the hardness values as taught by Sakai to enhance the sealing effect of the layer.

Regarding claims 29, 32, 35, and 39, GB '710 does not appear to disclose nickelphosphorus. The selection of a known material based on its suitability for its intended use is considered obvious. See In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416 (CCPA 1960). Therefore, it would have Application/Control Number: 10/550,010

Art Unit: 3676

been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify GB'
710 using nickel-phosphorus as the nickel alloy.

Regarding claims 21 and 22, requiring the layers to be electroplated or molten are process limitations in a product claim and given little patentable weight.

Response to Arguments

 Applicant's arguments filed 9-23-08 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that none of the references disclose a distribution of the amount of step of the hard layer corresponds to the rigidity of the engine relevant to the bores. The examiner disagrees. This is considered inherent in the combination. It appears from the specification that Applicants mean the thickness by the phrase "amount of step." The plated layer in the combination has a thickness. And, an engine inherently has a rigidity. A plated layer with a thickness around bore holes would therefore correspond to the rigidity of the engine bore holes. The claims do not define how the two correspond or set forth any ranges that distinguish over the proposed combination. It is also noted that Sakai teaches thickness ranges which use to be required by the claims. Thus, if those ranges were added to the claims to further define this limitation, Sakai would be applied for that teaching as well.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alison K. Pickard whose telephone number is 571-272-7062. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9-5).

Art Unit: 3676

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jennifer Gay can be reached on 571-272-7029. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Alison K. Pickard/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3676

AP